G. R. S. IX-5]

Fresum

Previous references.
cts 162-17 (168)

File No. 91-18

1918.
(cts)

Serial Nos. 168

Later references.
cts 228-21-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Eight

Part B for May 19

SUBJECT.

Additional clerical establishment to the Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam temporarily.

---

8) To, The Registrar Ch: Court
No 4 1626-70 D 22 5-19.
Sanctions the continuance of temporary
— ·— - so long as the 2nd Court continues
to do work.

Qur
11.6.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 : 28-1-19

G. R. S. III—1] 1918. 5043

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 91-18

FILE HEADING.

Additional clerical establishment to the munsiffs' court at Seringapatam temporarily

From

The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. R.O.C 91 Dated 13-9-1918 Received 5-10-1918

Diary No. 5043	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction to the addition of 2 more clerks, one on Rs 25/- & the other on Rs 20/- to the establishment of the Seringapatam Munsiffs Courts temporarily so long as the 2nd Munsiffs Court continues.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted. Proposition Statement duly signed by the Comptroller has not been received. Draft to the Registrar calling for the same is put up.

8/10/18 — 9/10

Done 8/10

NOTES—First Sheet. 8.10.18

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-16

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cls File No. 91 of 191 8

Serial No.	
2	To, The Registrar, Chief Court No. G 7309 Dt 10-10-18 Requests that a proposition statement may kindly be forwarded through the Comptr.
3	From, The Registrar, Chief Court through the Comptroller No. 1367 Dt 10/14-10-18 ~~Forwards~~ The proposition statement as desired. Subd. for orders. 3) The Chief Court recommends the addition of 2 clerks one on Rs 25/- p.m. another on Rs 20/- " to the establishment of the Secretariat and Munsiff's Court temporarily till the continuance of Second Munsiff's Court. 4) It is proposed to meet the extra cost out of the provision of Rs 25,000 for Judicial Reforms.

Sus B 11/10/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5043
5969

3

. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/S File No. 9/ of 1918.

Serial No.	
	5 But there is no margin for further expenditure out of this provision.
	6) Please put up draft this Reg' Digest 8Gr 11/11 This seems as there is no margin for further expenditure out of this provision. 8G 11/11
	7) Drafted.
	8) Issued 8Gr 14/4 8G 15/11
4	To, The Registrar, Chief Court No. G. 9079 Dt 20-11-18 Requests to Suggest Some source from which the Cost of the establishment may be met from
5	From the Registrar, Chief Court No. R-O. C 91 Dt 6-12-1918 States that the additional cost may be met from the unspent balances of Bombay grants used for the last year under 18 Courts of Law.
	9) Submitted for orders. The room pro-forma account there is only a Sum P. T. O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5969
7503

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/5 File No. 91 of 1918.

Serial No.

of Rs 5000 at the credit of the group Protection & Army.
Submd. for orders.

GCr
9/12

1) The Chief Court recommend the two additional clerks (one on Rs 25/- another on Rs 20/-) to the Secunderabad Municipality to cope with increase of work consequent on the establishment of a Second Municipal Court. The Chief Court and the District Judge consider the addition absolutely necessary. The only question is as to how the additional expenditure has to be met during the current year. As the additional expenditure involved during the year will be only 27 Rs, some source may be pointed out later on in the year. The compliation ~~says~~ urges that there is no money for expenditure under the head "Protection" to which this ~~charge~~ proposal belongs.

GS
1/12

2276
11/12

Second Member

1) The additional Establishment recommended by the Chief Court is sanctioned. The sum involved is not heavy & if the funds required are not sanctioned Govt is bound to pay & this latent contingency must be avoided

Sd
12.12.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1769—GPB—60,000—20-3-13—S.

GS
13/12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 91 of 1918.

Serial No.

(3) Draft subd.

8cr 16/12/18 (4) Draft submitted for favour of approval.

~~as~~ GMM 23/12/18

~~Chief Secy~~

As I think that the source from which the additional cost has to be met shd be pointed out in the order sanctioning the extra establishment. GMM 23/12/18

Chief Secy

FL Secty

CSJ 3.1.(2) GMM 2.1.19

FL 1608-18

(5) Maybe sanctioned upto the end of the current year if source by reappn is pointed out now or later on. Continuance after that may be considered at budget time. JM 31/1/19

Ch: Secy). 9.1.19.

(6) Subd. If approved the draft order already put up will be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1503

6

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/S File No. 9/ of 1918.

Serial No.

modified to be in accordance with the views of the Fin. Secrt.

8G
15/1/19.

17) Yes
GMH
16.1.19

18) Submd. with the modified draft for approval.
8G
17/1/19

P) GMH
18.1.19.

Chief Secy)

To Issue
CSS
28/1/19

D.W.
30/1

21

F/D has agreed — Para 5.
Draft Hair communicating Sanction.

Well
4.2.19

4.2.19

(communicated
vide R 3850) 7/2/19

22

6 The Regr Ch Court
— G 16236/07 4-2-19
Sanctions the services of two temporary clerks
for the Seringapatam Munsiffs Court

B
11/2

1919

R 1709-18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No. 91 of 1918.

Serial No.

1 From the Registrar Chief Court.
R.O.C.O. 962 dated 3-3-1919
(through the Comptroller)
Requests sanction for the continuance of the 2 temporary clerks so long as the 2nd Municipal Court continues to work. Submits with a draft sanction for approval or other orders. The Fin. Secy. will have to be consulted. Kind reference is invited to paragraph 15 Supra.

SG 11/3
Asst 11/3

Draft sanction submitted for approval. If approved the Fin. Secy will be consulted.
D.M. 12/3

Chief Secy
24/3. Please.

SK 28/3

25 Forwarded for favour of orders
Mahadeva Ayyar
18/3
Fin. Secy)

No Key provision is indented to be made in the draft rules. C.G.20.
Secy) —
28/3/19.

M 11/4

Chief Secy)
K 4/4

Fl 2103-18

2350
12/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Filed
12/4

12549

[G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 91 of 1918.

Serial No.

Subd 27 Means ascertain whether
Asst 1/4 we have to wait till the issue
of the 2nd Edn of the Budget or
whether the draft may issue on
the orders of the Member.
The correct procedure may be kindly
ascertained & 76 Secy so noted with me
if any as the subject. D.M. 18/1

28 Submitted with the Routine note containing
the note of the Fin Secy that the 2nd edition of
the Budget for 1919-20 has issued. It is ascertained
that provision having been made in the
budget, action may be taken for sanctioning
the expenditure additional establt.
Asst 24/4

29 Means put up rules on precedents
if any on the points in the reference in the
of the Budget. D.M. 1/4

30
Subd. This being the first year in which the practice
is followed, no precedents are available. With regard
to budget provision, reference is invited to the lump
provision of Rs 11,811 on page 105 of the 2nd Edition
of the Budget & the note of the Fin Secy Dy 10-4-19
Asst
Asst 30/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(1)

G. R. S. III-2.

12549

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.S. File No. 91 of 1918.

Serial No.

11488 / 23.5.19.

3/ Draft-answer to No 2 for approval & other orders

I Member
Hiranya Chatterjee

D.M. 6/5

DM 10/5 DM. 11/5

15.5.19.

may issue
D.M. 16/5

X 2680-15

The order is in accordance with the S/D opinion noted in page 7.

Draft & fair communication submitted.

22.5.19

Communicated 24/5

8 7

The Registrar, Ld Court.
" Comptroller
Nos. 26269-70 & 22-5-19

Sanctions the Continuance of the Cess Tax Estt of the Serishabari Municipality Court so long as the 2nd Court continues to do work

NOTE—Contn. sheet

COMPTROLLER 16 SEP 1918 BANGALORE MYSORE GOVT.

A569 604 A. 60

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.
Dated 13th September 1918.

R.O.C.No. 91/1918-19.

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

O.S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Through

The Comptroller to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

S i r,

The District Judge of Mysore had brought to the notice of this court that the two temporary clerks sanctioned for the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court in Government Order No. J. 4215-6/Cts. 162-17-5, dated the 11th April 1918, had been applied for to cope with the increased work in that court and that since the formation of the Second Munsiff's Court at that station, the services of two clerks are found to be absolutely necessary for the new court, one for the Bench Clerk's work and the other to keep the records of that court as well as to write processes in those cases. Consequently that officer had applied for two more hands on Rs. 25/- and Rs. 20/- respectively to cope with the heavy office work of the permanent court which has recently increased owing to the large disposals on

account

account of the formation of the second court. The District Judge who was asked to report how the two new hands applied for would give relief to the office, has obtained and submitted a list of work distribution among the clerical staff of that court. The statement shews that there is work sufficient for three additional hands and the First Munsiff has stated that as two courts are sitting, the number of cases posted for each day has doubled, consequently the processes and memos have increased by more than 50 per cent entailing greater work on the part of the Head Munshi, Court Nazir, the clerks keeping registers XIV, XV, and XX, and that three more hands are absolutely necessary. It is also represented that owing to the unhealthiness of the place some clerk or other is very frequently ill and absent and that the work of the office is a great deal hampered on that account.

I am directed to state that the Judges have carefully considered the District Judge's recommendation and think that the court at Seringapatam requires the same additional establishment as was recommended for the Tumkur Munsiff's Court in order to give relief to the Head Munshi, Court Nazir, &c, but would reserve the recommendation on a larger scale till it is found necessary to extend the period of the second court and that for the present the two additional hands recommended by the District Judge should be given. It may also be stated that though the Seringapatam court is a first class court with a very heavy file the clerical establishment of that court is not of the same strength as those of the Bangalore and Tumkur first courts, the strength of the former being twelve clerks while that of the latter fourteen each. .

I

I am therefore to request that the Government may be pleased to accord sanction to the addition of two more clerks, one on Rs 25/- and the other on Rs 20/- to the establishment of the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court temporarily so long as the Second Munsiff's Court continues to work at that station.

The extra cost involved by this proposal may be met from the sum of Rs 25,000/- sanctioned in the Budget for the current official year under the head "Judicial Reforms".

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar

Registrar in charge.

Ch Sec 3.10.18

N.G.

No. Books. 1047 Bangalore, the 27th Sept: 1918.

Forwarded.

There is no margin for any further recurring expenditure under the group " Protection and Army." Details of certificate are not repeated hare as they are furnished in previous

previous certificates. If Government consider the expenditure as necessary, it is too small to be put off on the score ~~that~~ of there being no balance at credit of departments. It may be provided for by re-appropriation.

The Chief Court proposes to meet the extra cost of Rs.540/- from the lumpsum provision of Rs. 25,000/- for ' Judicial Reforms.'

M. Hussain
Comptroller.

ET/27-9.

28.9.08

Recd at 4-30 pm on 9-10-18

14

G. R. S. V1—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(.)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue.....10-10-18.....

Signed by.....Asst B.T.K. Iyengar.....

Section C5

File No. 91-18

Serial No. 2

No. G 7309

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter R.O.C. No 91 of the 13th Sep. 1918 requesting sanction to the addition of 2 more clerks to the establishment of the Seringapatam Munsiffs' Court, I am directed to request that a proposition statement may be kindly forwarded

over.

9/10

15

through the Comptroller

I have etc

For Chief Secy

Sec
8/10/18.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D 86—G P B—60,000—15-11-16

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapt

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIO

Name of Office to which the

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
Govt order No. 469-70/ 270-13-3 dated 1st 5th August 1915.		1	Head Munshi				50	1	Head Munshi			
		1	Dy Munshi				35	1	Dy. —do—			
		1	Court Nazir	25	1	30	28¾	1	Court Nazir	25	1	30
		3	Clerks on Rs 25/- each				75	3	Clerks on Rs 25/- each			
		4	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each				80	4	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each			
		1	Apprentice clerk				15	1	Apprentice clerk			
			Temporary						Temporary			
Govt order No. 4215-14/ 162-17-5 dated 11th April 1918		1	Clerk				25	2	Clerks on Rs 25/- each			
		1	Gumasta				20	2	Gumastas on Rs 20 each			
		13					Rs 328¾	15				Rs

Present Scale verified. The proposal if sanctioned, will involve an extra cost of 45/- per mensem.

Chief Comptroller Mysore

Bangalore 11th October 1918 / 14th

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sho

II of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

N FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

Proposition relates... Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam

SECTION
FILE No. 91-18 50
SERIAL No. 3
GPB—2,000 3-8-15

16

Average Cost	Proposition: Permanent — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent — Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary — Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary — Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary — Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Financial Department: Remarks	Financial Department: Orders
50											
35											
28 3/4											
75											
80											
15											
											13825
50				25							
40				20							
			So long as the temporary 2nd Munsiff's Court exists				Vide this Misc. letter R. dtd. 13th September 1918 & the Chief Secretary's				
373 3/4				45							

Registrar

R

Defray from Contingencies

Chief Secretary

Comptroller

ld be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
Govt order No J. 465-70/65 270-13-3 dated 5th August 1915		1	Head Munshi				50	1	Head Munshi			
		1	Dy. — do —				35	1	Dy. — do —			
		1	Court Nazir	25	1	30	28 3/4	1	Court Nazir	25	1	30
		3	Clerks on Rs 25 each				75	3	Clerks on Rs 25 each			
		4	Gumastas on Rs 20 each				80	4	Gumastas on Rs 20 each			
		1	Apprentice Clerk				15	1	Apprentice Clerk			
			Temporary.							Temporary		
Govt. No J. 4215-16/ Rs 162-17-3 dated 11th April 1916.		1	Clerk				25	2	Clerks on Rs 25/- each			
		1	Gumasta				20	2	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each			
		13					Rs 328 3/4 15					

Present Scale verified. The proposal, if sanctioned, will involve an extra cost of 45/- per annum.

Chief Country Mysore Rly

Bangalore 11th October 1918

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sho

II of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

17

N FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

Proposition relates... Munsiffs' Court, Lemsepatam

GPB—2,000-3-8-15

Average Cost	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
50											
35											
28 3/4											
75											
80											
15											
50				25							
40				20							
373 3/4				45							

So long as the temporary 2nd Munsiffs' Court Continues

Vide this office letter R. Dis. No 917 1918-G dated the 13th September 1918 to the Chief Secretary

Registrar

Registrar

15/11

18

G. R. S. V1—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C&S

File No. 91-18

Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

No. 9079

Date of issue 20.11.18

Signed by M.Y. G.S.R.V

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. R.O. C 91/18-1.9.9 13th Sep. 1918. requesting sanction of Govt. to the ~~to~~ addition of two more clerks to the establishment of the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court, I am directed to request you to suggest some other

over.

C2/10th

19

source for meeting the extra cost since there is no margin for further expenditure out of the provision of Rs. 25,000 for Judicial Reforms".

I have etc.

13/11

for Chief Secy

8G 1
14/11

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W P&G—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

G.S.969

20

R.O.C.NO.91/1918-19.

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, 4th December 1918.

16664

9750.

From

S. Krishna Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

clo 91-18 5

To

C.S. Balasundram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

In reply to your letter No.G.9079/Cts.91-18-4 dated the 20th November 1918, I am directed to state that the extra cost of the additional clerks recommended for the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court may be met from the unspent balances of the Budget grants to the Judicial Department under the head 18. Courts of Law, for the past official year as it is not possible to meet the cost in question from the amount sanctioned in the current year's budget under any specific head.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Krishna Rao

R e g i s t r a r.

3111

21

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

ab

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section cb

File No. 91-18

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 4.2.19

Signed by Mr R M

Read.— Correspondence ending with letter No. R.O.C 91/18-9 dy-4-12-1918 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, reporting that the services of the two Compounders clerks sanctioned for the Shivagangapatam municipal Court in G.O No. 4215-16 Order Thereon: No. cb 162-17-6A. dy 11-4-18 are found absolutely necessary for the second municipal court at the Station and requesting

over.

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

31/

VV

Sanction to the addition of 2 more clerks one on Rs 25/- & the other on Rs 20/- to the establishment of the Schiffgerichte Municipal Court temporarily so long as the second Municipal Court continues to work at that station in order to cope with the heavy office work of the Permanent Contd.

Circles No. ₹ 10236-

1. Sanction is accorded to the entertainment of 2 clerks, one @ Rs 25/- & the other on Rs 20/- per mensem till the end of the current year

2. Sanctioned. The source from which the cost may be met will be known from points out later.

List of enclosures.

Sd/-
for Chief Secy.

To

The Registrar Chief Court ₹ 16-

" Comptroller & Govt.

(Through Fin Secty.)

Copied by

Examined by

8cr 2/16/17

A 1821

R.O.C.No. 962/1918-19.

Chief Court of Mysore, 20

Bangalore,

Dated, 1st March 1919.

5 MAR 1919 BANGALORE

12549.

From

G. SREENIVASA IYER, Esq., B. A., M. L.,
REGISTRAR OF THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
B A N G A L O R E.

To

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esq., B. A.,
CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
B A N G A L O R E.

Through

THE COMPTROLLER TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

Adverting to Government Order No. 16236-7/Cts. 91-18-4 dated the 4th February 1919 according sanction to the entertainment of two clerks one on Rs.25/- and the other on Rs.20/- in the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court till the end of the current official year, I am directed to state that the District Judge of Mysore has reported that the heavy work of the Second Munsiff's Court is such as to render necessary the retention of the two additional clerks now sanctioned, for a further period and has requested sanction to the continuance of their services as long as the Second Munsiff's Court continues to work.

I am to request that the Government may be pleased to accord necessary sanction in the matter. Due

provision

provision therefor will be made in the next year's budget.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

25

G.R.S. VI-71]

Govt No 7 6263
8 24/5

SUBJECT Continuance of the temporary establishment of the Seringapatam municipal court so long as the 2nd Court [illegible]

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C5
File No. 91-18
Serial No. 8

General and Revenue Secretariat
( ).
, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 22/5/19
81 206

Signed by N. Narasimha

Read.— G.O. No 16236-7 C5 91-18-6 dt 4-2-19 sanctioning the retention of the 2 temporary clerks on Rs 25/- & Rs 20/- respectively in the Seringapatam municipal Court till the end of the current year.

2. Letter R.O.C No 962 dt 3-3-1919 from the Regr. Chief Court-

over.

May this be

i Printed?
ii treated as a standing order?
iii published in the Gazette English part?
iv translated?
v placed at the disposal of the press?
vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Ap(3) 1915-

76

recommendn for sanction
the extra e[illegible]mance
of the serv[illegible] of the
2 clerks b[illegible]ng a.
the Second Munsiffs'
court continues to
work.

Orders no. G2629-70

Sanctioned.
necessary provision
will be made in
the next years Budget
~~to meet the expenditure~~

CSB/10/5

Chief Secy to Govt.

P.M.
12/3

List of enclosures.

2.

The Regr. chief court
to Comptroller to Govt.
through the Fin. Secy

~~Copy to Fin. Secy.~~

Copied by

Examined by

ADC
12/3